

**Meeting of the Stukeleys' Parish Council held in the village hall at Great Stukeley  
on Monday 4th September 2006 at 7.30pm**

ATTENDANCE	M/s M. Reynolds, D. Adams, H. Raby, D. Middleton, J. Butter, M. Monk, C. Cowdery, R.Hulstrom S. Lloyd, T.Pinner, R.Turpin, P.Ryan County Councillor Sir Peter Brown and Mrs Kadic, District Councillor K. Baker and one members of the public	
APOLOGIES	Mrs S. Gifford M/s P.Hobson, Mrs S. Parkin, D. Hossack	
OPEN MEETING	<b>No questions from the floor</b>	

ITEM	DETAIL	ACTION
HGV MOVEMENTS	<p>Mr Pinner introduced Robert Roweth from the County Council who gave the Council address on the subject. He said it was his job to implement strategies regarding HGV movements in the County. He said it took 6 years to implement a ban through Hilton and that was only at nights, this was through objections by the haulage association and was very costly to the Council. He said that there was no easy ways to obtain weight limits. The one through the villages was for environmental reasons. Weight limits were being ignored, mainly by foreign drivers who were unable to read, there was also the increasing use of Sat Nav by drivers and these did not depict weight limits. He said that there were half a million lorries on the roads, 25% of which were driven by foreigners. He said the County were setting up a web site with route mapping to try and get drivers to use through routes with no limits where possible, he did point out that the producers of navigation systems were not keen to show this information. Lorry watch was being set up in which lorries using weight limit routes could be reported to the traffic commission who would contact them to ask for explanation or warn to future use that may lead to prosecution, members of the public are encouraged to use the system. Mr Monk said that he thought a lorry watch scheme should be encouraged through the villages. Mr Adams was concerned that English based drivers were being persecuted and that foreign drivers were escaping scot free. Mr Roweth said he believed that road charges were inevitable and that through routes could be reasonable but access to restricted routes would be expensive. At this stage Mr Pinner thanked him for his attendance and the Council went into committee.</p>	
MINUTES	<p>The minutes of the July meeting were approved on a proposition by Mr Butter seconded by Mr Lloyd and signed by the chairman.</p>	
COMPUTER	<p>Mr Turpin reported that Broadband had been ordered and the clerk said he had received the installation pack and would liaise with Mr Turpin for its fitting.</p>	
LAND REGISTRY	<p>To be left on the agenda pending further action</p>	
PARISH PLAN	<p>Mr Turpin requested that this item be retained on the agenda.</p>	Mr Turpin.
WEB SITE	<p>Mr Cowdery reported that he and Mr Ryan were preparing detail and would keep the Council informed.</p>	Mr Cowdery

ITEM	DETAIL	ACTION
GRANGE FARM	It was reported that the enforcement officer of the District Council was continuing his enquiries and thought that planning permissions would be forthcoming shortly as the area had permission for change of use from agricultural.	Mr Baker
POLICE	There was no police report this month.	Parish Clerk
ACCOUNTS	On a proposition by Mr Lloyd seconded by Mr Butter the following accounts were passed for settlement. Pioneer Landscapes for grass maintenance £583.98. Moore Stephens Audit fee £141.00. EON Energy for supply £59.16. Printing Matters for magazine £62.00. Clerks Salary £172.52. The clerk reported that with the permission of the Chairman and Vice Chairman the following accounts had been paid in August. Pioneer Landscapes for grass maintenance £583.98. EON Energy for supply £59.16. Printing Matters for magazine £62.00. Gt. Stukeley Hall for youth club £76.50. Clerks salary £172.52. The clerk also reported receiving the audited annual return back from the accountants and that there were no problems to report.	Parish Clerk
NORTHBRIDGE	Some Councillors were concerned that there were rumours that the proposed development site of Northbridge could be extended beyond that which was the subject of the current planning application. Mr. Monk advised the Council that he understood that the District Council were to prepare a planning document which would need to find additional land in Huntingdonshire beyond that already allocated in the Local plan, taking into account the housing required by the regional plan. It could be that an extension to Northbridge might be suggested by the developers as part of this process. Mr. Baker reported that he had been advised by the District Council planning officers that no development could take place at Northbridge until the A.14 improvements were in place because of objections from the Highways agency.	

ITEM	DETAIL	ACTION
PLANNING	<p>Mr Monk reported to the Council that the following planning applications had been decided by the planning group together with delegated powers of the chairman.</p> <p>Application 0602497OUT for a police investigation centre at Latham road. Approval</p> <p>Application 06021931C1884entrance porch to school library at RAF Alconbury Approval.</p> <p>Application 0602093FUL for temporary portable buildings at the Forensic science laboratory at Hinchingsbrooke. Approval.</p> <p>Application 0602338OUT for 14 dwellings in outline south of Christies Drive Hinchingsbrooke Approval.</p> <p>The following application was delayed to be presented to the full Council. Application 0601766REM for 95 dwellings north west of Cromwell Park school at Hinchingsbrooke. Mr Monk stated that he had had talks with Paul Belton of the District Council and also the site agent for Barrett Homes, he said that he considered that the development would proceed so it may be best to seek improvements to site screening and also a solid wooden barrier to the bin store as well as a dividing wall for the school at the entrance point, he said he felt that it was as good as we could expect as refusal may lead to an inspector conducting an appeal process that may lead to the development proceeding without these concessions, he suggested withdrawal of objection, although seconded by Mr Reynolds the motion was not supported.</p> <p>After a lengthy discussion it was resolved to uphold the objection so that the matter went to the development control panel at which the Council could be represented by, Mr Adams the clerk was to inform the District Planning Authority that the Council felt very strongly that a temporary access to the site should be forged, with a delay to two houses until the building was complete, these could then be developed, this would eliminate the necessity for HGV to pass the school entrance to access the site, the Council feels that this is a huge safety issue and a high priority to all families living in the area. This proposition was supported by eleven councillors with bone abstention.</p>	

ITEM	DETAIL	ACTION
GRASS CUTTING	The Council agreed that the standard of work by the contractor had slipped recently, the chairman said that he had been ill, but having said that he still had a contract to fulfil and that he would advise him of the councils concerns and that they would expect significant improvement. On a secondary matter the Council had not been cutting all the Grass the County had paid them for, as this was mainly outside the village boundaries Mr Pinner said that he and Mr Reynolds were to seek another price next year for these areas as they did not require such a refined cut as the internal village areas, the Council agreed that they should do this.	Mr.Pinner and Mr. Reynolds
BOX VANS	An E.Mail was read from the enforcement officer of the District Council in which he stated that he had made enquiries and had spoken to the residents concerned and as far as he was concerned no planning regulations were being breached so he was closing the case. After much discussion it was agreed on a vote of ten in favour with two against that the Parish Council accept this decision and close the matter. It was considered that other issues raised were matters for individuals and not the concern of the Council.	
MINUTES	It was agreed the new minute set up was to be used in future and that Mr Turpin was to set up a template for the clerk when he connected the broadband.	
BOUNDARIES	Mr Lloyd supplied documentation to those requiring it.	
SALT BINS	Mr Raby reported that these have now been removed.	
OWL END	The clerk reported that the County Council were not keen on lowering a limit that was considered difficult to empower anyway.	
CALC	The clerk read a letter from CALC and also referred to a letter from NALC in which there was no apology for the late supply of advice for the Green Welly issue, CALC apologised for what they considered bad service from NALC and it was agreed that the clerk should write to NALC to say that the Council did not consider that they had addressed the issues which the Parish had raised.	Clerk
CALC	Mr Turpin advised of the AGM to be held at Bluntisham village hall on 21 <sup>st</sup> October 2006. He said that this would also comprise an EGM at which an investigation was to be conducted into the way CALC served the community and also its roll in the future because it was considered that in the past results had not been great, he urged attendance to voice opinions.	

ITEM	DETAIL	ACTION
GREEN WELLY AND ST MARTINS	<p>Mr Hulstrom reminded Councillors that the Council had asked him to act on the Councils behalf on both these matters.</p> <p>Mr. Hulstrom said that he had reported back to the Council regularly the progress of the situation at St. Martins churchyard, and that he was surprised that Mr. Soinne, the churchwarden, had claimed that there had been a lack of communication. Mr. Hulstrom indicated that he had done his best to follow the Councils instructions which were to try to protect the Conservation area by preventing the loss of a number of trees and a hedge. He then returned to the chairman all correspondence on the matter.</p> <p>In the matter of Green Welly, there was a difference of opinion between the chairman and Mr. Hulstrom as to whether the date of the court hearing had been communicated to the Chairman by Mr. Hulstrom. The Chairman indicated that he had not received written advice. Mr. Hulstrom replied that he had sent an email to the Chairman and a number of other Parish Councillors clearly setting out the date and time of the Hearing. Mr. Hulstrom then withdrew from the meeting.</p> <p>The Council did not discuss the matter further after Mr. Hulstrom had left the meeting.</p>	
NEXT MEETING	Will be on Monday 2 <sup>nd</sup> October 2006 at 7.30pm at Little Stukeley village hall.	All